

Response statistics for Public Consultation on Environmental Technology Verification


There are 139 answers to this questionnaire

Respondent Details

I am answering

Number of answers


0 10 20 30 40 50 60




Geographic extend of my activities

Number of answers

0 10 20 30 40 50




I am established in	
AT - Austria	4
BE - Belgium	12
BG - Bulgaria	0
CY - Cyprus	1
CZ - Czech Republic	2
DE - Germany	12
DK - Denmark	1
EE - Estonia	2
EL - Greece	0
ES - Spain	5
FI - Finland	3
FR - France	6
HU - Hungary	1
IE - Ireland	3
IT - Italy	9
LT - Lithuania	0
LU - Luxembourg	1
LV - Latvia	0
MT - Malta	0
NL - Netherlands	11
PL - Poland	18
PT - Portugal	4
RO - Romania	7
SE - Sweden	1
SI - Slovenia	1
SK - Slovakia	1
UK - United Kingdom	27
HR - Croatia	1
MK - former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0
TR - Turkey	0
IS - Iceland	0
LI - Liechtenstein	0
NO - Norway	1
CH - Switzerland	1
Other Europe	2
US - United States	2
CAN - Canada	0
Japan	0
Other non-Europe	0




Environmental Technology Verification


Do you think there is a need to promote and organise the third party verification of technology performance?



What should be the first objective of a technology verification system?




For what type of technologies should ETV be developed in priority?



What should be the main rationale of ETV?

Number of answers


0 10 20 30 40 50 60



Who should lead the organisation of ETV?

Number of answers


0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80




Outline of an EU ETV Scheme

The possible EU scheme under consideration is based on the verification of performance claims, on a voluntary basis using test data provided by the technology developer and additional tests if needed.

Do you think this approach is: Number of answers




Do you think the ETV scheme should provide other services than verification itself, such as pre-verification for pilot technologies, benchmarking of different technologies for users, support to the marketing of new technologies.. Number of answers




The following technology areas are considered for the beginning of the scheme: monitoring techniques, waste water treatment, renewables and energy efficiency, air pollution abatement, clean technologies including recycling. Is this list:

Number of answers




In your area of activities would such an EU scheme be:

Number of answers




Considerations of costs

The funding provided by the applicants to the system (technology developers or vendors) would cover the cost of verifying their application (estimated €5000 to €20000) and the cost of additional tests if needed (estimated €10000 to €70000). Is this cost:




In order to reduce the cost for technology vendors to a minimum, what other sources of funding would you consider appropriate?




Please rank the importance of the following aspects of an EU ETV system


Credibility and scientific soundness




Rapidity of verification process




Low cost for applicants




Recognition of verification results in Europe




International recognition of verification results




Accessibility for SMEs (language, cost, proximity of contact points)




Attractiveness for investors and other financial partners




Support to technology users/public and private purchasers




Confidentiality of technology details or test data




Public availability of verification report



Focus on technologies addressing the main environmental issues



Focus on technology areas where many innovations occur



Thank you for answering this questionnaire

